

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/087,092 03/01/2002 Anthony C. Bonora 34741-774 1981 7590 33864 07/29/2004 **EXAMINER** O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP FOX, CHARLES A **275 BATTERY STREET SUITE 2600** PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3305 3652

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Ap	pplicant(s)	9	
Office Action Summary		10/087,092	ВС	NORA ET AL.	W	
		Examiner	Ar	t Unit		
		Charles A. Fox	36			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the corre	spondence addre	?SS	
I HE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Fails	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimal apply and will expire SIX	um of thirty (30) days will (6) MONTHS from the mecome ARANDONED.	led be considered timely. nailing date of this comm	nunication.	
Status	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ap	oril 2004.				
2a)🛛						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O	.G. 213.	CIIIS IS	
Disposit	ion of Claims		,			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,2,4,8-10,13 and 15-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4,8-10,13 and 15-17 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)[\(\sigma\)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2002 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	accepted or b) rawing(s) be held in on is required if the d	abeyance. See 37 ( rawing(s) is objected	CFR 1.85(a). d to. See 37 CFR 1	l.121(d). 152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign    All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been receive have been receive ty documents have (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application N e been received in ).	0	ge	
Attachment	• •					
2)  Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Pap 5)	erview Summary (PTO- per No(s)/Mail Date cice of Informal Patent / er:	<b></b>	<u>?</u> )	
U.S. Patent and Tr PTOL-326 (Re	4.04)	on Summary		aper No./Mail Date 20	<u> </u>	

Art Unit: 3652

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because figures 1-3 are not labeled as prior art.

Page 2

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3652

Claims 1,2,8,10,13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonora in view of Bacchi et al. and further in view of the admitted prior art. In regards to claims 1,8 and 13 Bonora et al. US 6,138,721 teach a system for transferring wafers comprising:

a unified frame, including at least 2 vertical struts spaced apart and mounted to an upper and a lower support member, said frame defining at least one input/output port and a port door storage area; see figure 2.

a carrier docking isolation plate (10) mounted to each vertical strut;

a carrier advance assembly (13) for supporting a wafer carrier, and moving a wafer carrier towards and away from said carrier docking isolation plate;

a port door assembly (15) and a drive mechanism for engaging and mating with a wafer carrier door and moving said door into and out of a storage area.

Bonora et al. do not teach a wafer handling robot connected to the frame assembly or a separate storage compartment for the port door/carrier door assembly when opened. Bacchi et al. teach a wafer handling system comprising:

- a frame (12) for supporting a plate (18);
- a port door (17) in said plate;
- a wafer carrier stage connected to a first side of said plate;
- a wafer handling robot (20) connected to said frame (12) for moving wafers into and out of said wafer carrier. Bacchi et al. do not teach a separate storage compartment for the port door/carrier door assembly when opened.

Art Unit: 3652

The admitted prior art shown in figure 3 clearly shows a separate storage compartment for a port door/ carrier door assembly. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide a wafer handling robot as taught by Bacchi et al. in the device taught by Bonora et al. in order to allow the device to move wafer without having to depend on the host process device to have a wafer handler, thereby allowing the device to work with a wide range of existing process machines, and to further place the port door assembly in a separate compartment as taught by the admitted prior art as this is a conventional arrangement that would have been well know to one of ordinary skill in the art.

In regards to claims 2,9 and 16 the admitted prior art further teaches a drive and guides for moving a port door/ carrier door assembly from a closed position to a storage position. See page 26 lines 5-7 of the specification of the instant invention. Therefore It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to further provide the device taught by Bonora in view of Bacchi et al. with well know means for handling a port door assembly in order to use existing parts, thereby decreasing the overall cost of manufacturing the device.

Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonora et al., Bacchi et al. and the admitted prior art as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Saeki et al. Bonora et al., Bacchi et al. and the admitted prior art teach the limitations of claims 1 and 13 as above, they do not teach the docking isolation plate as being transparent. Saeki et al. US 6,053,983 teaches making a wafer carrier from transparent material as a means for determining the contents of the carrier

Art Unit: 3652

and their condition. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to use a transparent material as taught by Saeki et al. on the device taught by Bonora et al., Bacchi et al. and the admitted prior art in order to allow an operator to look into a particular area without having to compromise the environmental isolation of that area.

#### Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on April 9, 2004 has been entered into the record.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,8 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3652

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 703-605-4294. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-5:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAF

7-19-04

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

11 0000